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Introduction

In early adolescence, peers become increasingly important, exerting significant influence on behaviors and attitudes (Berndt, 1982). There is a lot of studies on this topic, but the social life of children has recently undergone significant changes: their interactions now substantially occur on the Internet (Subrahmanyam & Smahel, 2011), where they both maintain existing friendships and participate in new and distinct online groups. The characteristic of these online relationships—sometimes described as deficient, and other times, paradoxically, as more fulfilling than existing friendships and participate in new and distinct online groups, exerting significant influence on behaviors and attitudes (Berndt, 2004). It seems that only online groups are not able to provide support to such people who children meet both offline and online. It seems that the online/offline dyads which relationships get “the best” from both modes of relationships. In comparison to groups meeting only online or mostly offline, the online/offline groups provide children with most support and sense of belonging. This probably creates better conditions, such as higher mutual trust, feelings of safety, which underlay higher tendency to self-disclose than in only online groups, but also more chances for preferred self-presentation and behavior than in mostly offline groups.

In the end, these online/offline groups also support largest personal changes, and these changes are positively predicted by all mentioned variables. We can now only speculate, whether these changes are beneficial or if they represent unwanted influence. This is the question which should be asked in the future research.

There is also interesting difference between the other two groups, which correspond with previous findings about the character of online relationships (McKenna & Bargh, 2004): it seems that only online groups are not able to provide support to such extent as mostly offline ones, but they provide better conditions for distinct behavior. Thus, although the relationships in these groups seem to lack the quality of offline ones, these groups offer an environment for preferred self-presentation, which can influence the identity development of early adolescents.

Results 2: OLS Regression

Conclusions

Our study identified several important differences among the members of these three typical “real” groups: those interacting only online, both online and offline, and mostly offline.

The most distinct (and in many senses beneficial) seem to be groups composed of people who children meet both offline and online. It seems that the offline/offline dyads which relationships get “the best” from both modes of relationships. In comparison to groups meeting only online or mostly offline, the online/offline groups provide children with most support and sense of belonging. This probably creates better conditions, such as higher mutual trust, feelings of safety, which underlay higher tendency to self-disclose than in only online groups, but also more chances for preferred self-presentation and behavior than in mostly offline groups.

In the end, these online/offline groups also support largest personal changes, and these changes are positively predicted by all mentioned variables. We can now only speculate, whether these changes are beneficial or if they represent unwanted influence. This is the question which should be asked in the future research.

There is also interesting difference between the other two groups, which correspond with previous findings about the character of online relationships (McKenna & Bargh, 2004): it seems that only online groups are not able to provide support to such extent as mostly offline ones, but they provide better conditions for distinct behavior. Thus, although the relationships in these groups seem to lack the quality of offline ones, these groups offer an environment for preferred self-presentation, which can influence the identity development of early adolescents.
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Table 1. Three step hierarchical regression predicting perceived personal change due to group membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Sense of belonging</th>
<th>Different behavior-members</th>
<th>Perceived support</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.461**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.462**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.463**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05

Method

Sample

The data come from first wave of panel-survey on 3,055 students from a stratified random sample of schools in the Czech Republic. A subsample of 365 respondents (50% girls; aged 11-14) (N=12,83; SD=1.00) was selected on the basis of reporting participation in online groups.

Respondents were divided into those interacting with members of online groups “only online”, both “online and offline” (n=398), and “mostly offline” (n=314).

Measures

-Sense of belonging - the main measure, which represents the social status of respondents, with the standardization (ranging from 1 to 5).
-“Perceived support” from group members (ranging from 1 to 5).
-“Real” groups included in the analysis (ranging from 1 to 5).

Depth of the respondent’s self-disclosure inside the group (ranging from 1 to 5).

All scales were transformed into 2-scores.

Analysis 1: ANOVA

We conducted one-way ANOVAs on the standardized values of examined variables (see Figure 1).

Results 1

Respondents meeting group members both online and offline reported highest levels on sense of belonging and personal change; they also disclosed more and perceived more support than “only online” group, and behaved more differently in their group than “mostly offline” group.

“Only online” group also inclined more to behave differently within the group than “mostly offline” group, but perceived less support than them.

Perceived “personal change” due to group membership

(α=.77; M=.820; SD=.71)

Results 2: OLS regression

To assess different group dynamics, three hierarchical linear regressions were conducted predicting the perceived personal change (Table 1).

Table 1. Three step hierarchical regression predicting perceived personal change due to group membership

Figure 1. Intergroup differences on examined variables

Note: Significantly (p<.05) higher scores between two groups indicated by Tukey post-hoc tests are designated by the arrow, all df = 2. ** p < .01

Conclusions

The extent to which respondent’s and member’s intragroup behavior differed from extragroup behavior was a significant positive predictor of personal change within all groups, while sense of belonging and self-disclosure predicted change only in the “mostly offline” and “online and offline” groups.